

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)			
AIRS ID#: 0090065 DATE: <u>12/06/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:17 PM</u> DEPART	Г: <u>2:18 РМ</u>		
FACILITY NAME: VALKARIA READY-MIX PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 4152 OLD DIXIE HWY			
PALM BAY 32949			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGURD BO  Email:  CONTACT NAME: SIGURD BO  Email:  ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date)  (end date)  PHONE: (407)841-8  Mobile: (407)812-7	119 409		
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO?			
If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	YesNo		

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 1/08/2008     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by:	onfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?  3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ul> <li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: <ul> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes	□ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo (flyash) w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: <u>1/8/2008</u>	□ No
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:</li> </ol>	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  Yes 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	☐ No
control emissions? Yes	☐ No
<ol> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne</li> </ol>	
particulate matter? Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

## Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-silo (slag) w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

		<u> </u>	
PA	PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
2.	Date of last inspection: 1/8/2008  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  \[ \Delta N/A \]  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	· 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Storage and Storage Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ed	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
	control emissions?	- X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?	· 🛚 Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No

# Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-batcher/truck loadoutw/shroud& cent.dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 1/8/2008  2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:</li> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ol>	following:	□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	⊠ Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

~			
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check	only one
		,	ch question)
1	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the notantial to emit		1 ,
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
			⊠ No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	Yes	⊠ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes Yes	⊠ No
	D 4' C ''' ' 1 1		
2.	Does this facility include:	C	
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	10	
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		N
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- L Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
İ	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene	ral	
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes	□No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	- Yes	☐ No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		□ No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		□ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes	□ No
	c. of all equivalent profaced amount if multiple fuels are used offsite (use equation below):		L 140
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation	ane/vr / 1	002
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar	<u>unc/yr                                    </u>	
	275,000 gai diesel/yi 25,000 gai gasoline/yi 44 wiwi ser nat. gas/yi 1.5 wiwi gai propan	ic/yi	
1	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum	ntion	
→.	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		□No
	Tor each consecutive 12-period for the past 3 years:		140
$\mathbf{G}$	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 5	only one
		*	ch question)
		box for car	in question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed		
	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- Xes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces	s s	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only one	
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.	Yes No	
<ul><li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departme e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busines</li><li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N</li></ul>	s day prior to changing location? Yes No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N	business days following a relocation? Yes No otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at lea  3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a sep	arate air construction or air operation permit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routin If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how l co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No	
If TES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration	ni:	
CHANGES (check ☑ only one		
Administrative Changes:	box for each question)	
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relection operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol>	cation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility?   Yes   No	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	ment?	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg 30 days prior to the change?		
Sangeeta Sharma	12/06/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** Ms. Sangeeta Sharma inspected this facility on December 6<sup>th</sup>, 2011. Ms. Sharma talked to Mr. John Harner (Supervisor) at the time of the inspection. Ms. Sharma also talked to Mr. Mark Petrick (Plant Manager) on the phone since Mr. Petrick was not available at the time of the inspection. Ms. Sharma walked around the facility and found there are 3 silos, 3 baghouses, and 1 dust collector at the facility. Mr. Harner stated that they don't have slag silo anymore. They quit using slag silo and started using cement silo. Process starts with the raw cement goes up from all 3 silos, and then air comes out and cement collects in the dust collector. Required documents of fuel consumption were submitted to the department on December 7<sup>th</sup>, 2011. So the facility is in compliance.